Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) MINORETTI ET AL.		
10/502,213			
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ralph A. Lewis	3732		

	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Ralph A. Lewis	3732			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	irace		
THE REF	PLY FILED <u>14 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE	# e33		
1. ⊠ The this ola	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following replication.					
um	e penods:		ly must be filed within one	e of the following		
	The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date					
b) [_]	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the n	nailing date of the final reject	ion		
Eutonoion	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
have beer under 37 (set forth ir	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date if filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late the any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding am shortened statutory period for repl r than three months after the maili	ount of the fee. The approp	riate extension fee		
	OF APPEAL	*				
tilir	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp ig the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte lotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed MENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(ϵ	to avoid dismissal of the state of the	hs of the date of he appeal. Since		
	ne proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection.	but prior to the data of filing a	being will make a success as	`		
(a)	They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see	oner, will <u>not</u> be entered to NOTE below);	Decause		
	They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		lly reducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d)	They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of final	ly rejected claims.			
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		, ,			
4. 🔲 Th	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		n-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)		
5. 🔲 Ar	oplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s));		,		
noi	ewly proposed or amended claim(s)would be a n-allowable claim(s).					
ho	r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) we the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		will be entered and an	explanation of		
Cla	e status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: nim(s) allowed:					
	aim(s) objected to: <u>10-15 and 18-23</u> . aim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,16 and 17</u> .					
	aim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
	VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
be:	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bucause applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar snot earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the a	ffidavit or other evidence	is necessary and		
en	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing tered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under a	appeal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a		
10. 🔲 T	he affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation For RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. 🔲 T	he request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the applica	tion in condition for allow	ance because:		
	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	-77 -			
	*	* *	Ralph A. Le	wis		
		*	Primary Exam	iner		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Λ			

AU3732

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim 1 changes the claimed invention from an "appliance" to a "kit of parts," adds a second connecting means to the first module and adds a paragraph of previously unclaimed material to the claim. While the proposal may be allowable, it has not been previously searched or considered in view of the cited prior art.